

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Crl.M.No.M-44324 of 2013(O&M)

Date of Decision: December 31, 2013

Abhishek Soni and another

.....Petitioners

v.

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAM CHAND GUPTA

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr.D.S.Nigha, Advocate.

.....

RAM CHAND GUPTA, J.(Oral)

The present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of direction to respondent nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent nos.4 and 5.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners will be satisfied if respondent no.2, i.e., Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, is directed to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, on application, dated 25.12.2013, Annexure P4, already made to him by the petitioners.

As per averments made in the petition both the petitioners are major. Date of birth of petitioner no.1-Abhishek Soni, as per copy of Identity card issued by Election Commission of India, Annexure P1, is 26.11.1992, and date of birth of petitioner No.2-Sapna Sharma, as per copy of Aadhar card issued by Election Commission of India, Annexure P2, is 20.8.1993. They got married on 25.12.2013. Photographs of the marriage are Annexure P3.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no.2, i.e., Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, on application, dated 25.12.2013, Annexure P4,

already stated to have been moved to him by the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order will be having no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of petitioners and also on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted against petitioner no.1 as per law.

31.12.2013

meenu

(Ram Chand Gupta)
Judge