IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-39935 of 2012(O&M) Date of Decision: 31.08.2013

Anil Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

Present: Nirmalpreet Walia, Advocate,

for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Renu Rishi Gautam, Advocate,

for respondent No. 2.

R.P. NAGRATH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 has stated that compromise has been effected between the parties in the complaint filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and payment has having been made, the complaint pending in the trial Court was withdrawn.

Counsel for respondent No. 2 adopts reply filed in <u>CRM No. M-</u>39934 of 2012.

For the reasons mentioned in *CRM No. M-39934 of 2012* the instant petition is allowed and the order dated 05.09.2011 passed by the trial Court declaring petitioner a proclaimed offender is set aside and the F.I.R. No. 140 dated 05.11.2011 under Section 174-A IPC Police Station Mataur, District S.A.S. Nagar stands quashed.

(R.P.NAGRATH) JUDGE

August 31, 2013 *nitin*

Nitin 2013.09.02 10:24 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document