

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH**CRM-M-26995-2013****Date of decision : 29.11.2013**

Balwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTALPresent: Mr.Parminder Singh-I, Advocate
for the petitioner.**REKHA MITTAL, J.(ORAL)**

The petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.10 dated 18.01.2013, under Sections 354, 341, 506 IPC and Section 66-A of Information and Technology Act, registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda on the basis of compromise dated 26.07.2013 (Annexure P2) effected between the parties.

The parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 25.09.2013 to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

A report has been submitted by the trial Court / Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Tawandi Sabo, wherein it has been reported that the statements of the petitioner and complainant-respondent No.2 have been recorded and the statements made by the parties in the Court reveal that they have voluntarily entered into a compromise with an intention to live in peace and harmony.

Mr.H.P.S.Ishar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of

complainant-respondent No.2. Counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 has conceded to the fact that parties have settled their dispute by way of compromise and the complainant-respondent No.2 has got no objection if the aforesaid FIR and proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed.

Mr.Amarinder Singh Klar, AAG, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1. He has not disputed correctness of assertions of the petitioner and complainant-respondent No.2 that the matter has been settled by way of compromise between the parties.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the case file.

There is nothing on record to doubt correctness of the compromise effected between the parties, whereby they have decided to settle their dispute with an intention to live in peace and harmony. The present case falls in the category of cases, which can be allowed to be settled by way of compromise, in view of the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543.***

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, the petition is allowed and FIR No.10 dated 18.01.2013, under Sections 354, 341, 506 IPC and Section 66-A of Information and Technology Act, registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda and proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioner.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

November 29, 2013.

Davinder Kumar