



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Monday, the Thirtieth day of December Two Thousand Thirteen

PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH**

CRL OP(MD) No.23552 of 2013

1 SENTHILKUMAR  
2 KASIRAMAN

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NOS.1 AND 2

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
SIVAGANGAI TALUK POLICE STATION,  
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.  
(CRIME NO.543 OF 2013)

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.KARTHICK Advocate  
For Respondent : M/S.A.P.BALASUBRAMANIAN,  
Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

There totally two accused and the petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2 and apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged commission of offences punishable under sections 294 (b), 323 and 506(i) IPC, in Crime No.543 of 2013 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the alleged occurrence was taken place on 21.12.2013 and it is alleged that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners had attacked the defacto complainant with wooden log and caused injuries on his legs and hands.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners and the defacto complainant were in a TASMACH shop and at that time, a wordy quarrel ensured and that the complaint itself is false and concocted and the petitioners have nothing to do with the alleged commission of offence.

4. Learned Government Advocate (crl. side) would submit that there is no serious injuries to the defacto complainant and that the defacto complainant was discharged from the hospital.



5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) appearing for the state and also perused the entire materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this is a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sivagangai and on each of them executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 11.00 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required, for interrogation. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

7. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-  
30/12/2013

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II  
SIVAGANGAI
  - 2.DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT
  - 3.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,  
MADURAI
  - 4.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
SIVAGANGAI TALUK POLICE STATION,  
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
- +1. CC to M/S.S.KARTHICK Advocate SR.No.64462  
RL/6 C- 2/1/2014

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.23552 of 2013  
Date :30/12/2013