

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT (Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Thirty First day of October Two Thousand Thirteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice C.T.SELVAM

CRL OP(MD) No.19428 of 2013

1 P.NATARAJAN

2 N.SELVARATHI

3 V.OTCHAPPAN

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 1TO 3

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DINDIGUL TALUK POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL,
CRIME NO. 608 OF 2013. ... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner: M/S. P. SENGUTTUARASAN Advocate

For Respondent: MRS.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER: The Court Made the following order:-

The petitioners are arrayed as Al to A3 and they apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for alleged offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 471 and 506(ii) of I.P.C., in Crime No.608 of 2013 on the file of the respondent police and hence, they have come forward with this petition seeking anticipatory bail.

- 2. The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner created a false document in respect of the disputed property and thereby he sold the same to the de-facto complainant and suppressed the original extent of the land, for which the third petitioner helped the de-facto complainant to purchase the land from the first petitioner.
- 3.Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that originally the first petitioner purchased the land from one Asrapunnisha, measuring an extent of 2 acres and 43 cents and the same extent of land was sold to the de-facto complainant and there is no fraud or bogus document. He would further submit that on enquiry, the respondent police advised them to survey the land and in the mean time, a false case has been registered against the petitioners.
- 4. Heard the Learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) on the above submission.

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the

submissions made on either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

- 6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul subject to the following conditions:-
- (i) The petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the arresting officer or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned; and
- (ii) The first and third petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for three days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation and the second petitioner shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

sd/-31/10/2013

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.1 DINDIGUL.
- 2.DO THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL.
- 3. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- 4. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
 DINDIGUL TALUK POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL.
- +1. CC to M/S.P. SENGUTTUARASAN, Advocate SR.No.53369

ORDER

ΙN

CRL OP(MD) No.19428 of 2013

Date :31/10/2013

RG.06.11.2013 2P.6C.