

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDRA MOHAN**

**FRIDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF JUNE 2013/7TH ASHADHA, 193**

**WP(C).NO. 16305 OF 2013 (K)**  
-----

**PETITIONER(S) :**  
-----

**DR. A.GIRIJA DEVI, AGED 47 YEARS,  
W/O MEENASHI NATHA PILLAI, ATHIRA, KULAMANCODE P.O,  
PALODE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT**

**BY ADV. SRI. P.G.PRAMOD**

**RESPONDENT(S) :**  
-----

- 1. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD,  
NEDUMANGAD BRANCH, NEDUMANGAD P.O.,  
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGER, PIN.695003.**
- 2. REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES KERALA,  
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF CO- OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,PIN. 695001.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. C.K. SHERIN  
BY SRI.C.K.JAYAKUMAR, S.C.,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT  
CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD,**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
28-06-2013, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**NS**

**WP(C).NO. 16305 OF 2013 (K)**  
-----

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :**  
-----

**EXHIBIT P1 : COPY OF THE LETTER DATED NIL ISSUED BY THE 1<sup>ST</sup> RESPONDENT TO THE TREASURY OFFICER, VITHURA.**

**EXHIBIT P2 : COPY OF THE STATEMENT OF LOAN ACCOUNT TILL 08-02-2013.**

**EXHIBIT P3 : REPRESENTATION DATED 05-06-2013 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2<sup>ND</sup> RESPONDENT.**

**RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL**  
-----

**/ TRUE COPY /**

**NS**

**P.A. TO JUDGE**

**K. SURENDRA MOHAN, J**

-----  
**W.P.(C). No. 16305 of 2013**  
-----

**Dated this the 28<sup>th</sup> Day of June, 2013**

**J U D G M E N T**

The petitioner, a surety to a loan transaction complains that excess amounts are being recovered from him by the bank towards the liability of the principal debtor. The principal debtor does not appear to have made any such complaint. Therefore, the petitioner's complaint is prima facie misconceived. The petitioner has submitted Ext.P3 representation to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent. She seeks the issue of appropriate directions to consider and pass orders on Ext.P3.

I have heard the learned Government Pleader who appears for the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent as well as Adv. C.K. Jayakumar who appears for the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent. In view of the limited relief sought, this writ petition is disposed of directing the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to consider the grievance of the petitioner set out in Ext.P3 representation in accordance with law and to pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible

and at any rate within a period of two months of the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Sd/-  
**K. SURENDRA MOHAN**  
**JUDGE**

NS