IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.9282 of 2013

<u>Date of decision</u>: 29.11.2013

Shri Amar Dev

.... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Himachal Pradesh and others

.... Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Lokender Paul Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate

General with Mr. D.C. Pathik, Addl. A.G., Mr. R.S. Verma, Addl. A.G. and Mr. Ramesh Thakur, Asstt. A.G. for R-1

to 3.

Sanjay Karol, Judge (Oral)

We have called for the record of the transfer of the petitioner and find that it has been effected by UO Note No.65739 dated 23.7.2013.

2. In these circumstances, we find that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in *CWP No.801* of 2013, tilted as *Sanjay Kumar* versus *State of H.P. and others*, alongwith connected matters, decided on 5.7.2013. We

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

accordingly quash and set aside transfer order dated 23.8.2013 (Annexure P-1) and relieving order dated 21.11.2013 (Annexure P-2), so far it effects the transfer of the petitioner. We leave it open to the respondents to transfer the petitioner in accordance with law. Liberty granted to the State to take action in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also, the pending application(s), if any.

> (Sanjay Karol), Judge.

(Rajiv Sharma), Judge.

November 29, 2013 (KS)