

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA

CWP No. 8643 of 2013

Date of Decision: 31.10.2013

Shri Dhonku Ram & anotherPetitioners.

Versus

State of H.P & others.Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.K. Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the Petitioner: Mr. R.D Kaundal, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K Gupta, Advocate.

For the Respondent: Mr.Sharwan Dogra, Advocate General with D.C Pathik, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Ramesh Thakur, Assistant Advocate General.

Sanjay Karol, J (oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioners shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which their case is covered by the decision rendered by this Court in CWP No. 2735 of 2010 titled as ***Rakesh Kumar*** versus ***State of H.P and others***, alongwith connected matters, on 28.7.2010 and decision rendered by the Apex Court in Special leave to Appeal (Civil) No. 21939-22009/2011, titled as ***State of H.P & Ors*** versus ***Som Nath & Ors. ETC*** on 7.5.2013.

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioners to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be considered on its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioners. Needless to add, the authorities shall pass a reasoned order, which shall be communicated to all concerned.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also the pending application(s), if any.

(Sanjay Karol),
Judge.

31st October, 2013.
(priti)

(V.K. Sharma),
Judge.