

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA

CWP No. 8321 of 2013
Date of Decision: 31.10.2013

Tarju DeviPetitioner.

Versus

State of H.P & others.Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.K. Sharma, Judge.

*Whether approved for reporting?*¹ No.

For the Petitioner: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr.Sharwan Dogra, Advocate General with D.C Pathik, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Ramesh Thakur, Assistant Advocate General.

Sanjay Karol, J (oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioner shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which her case is covered by the decision in ***Bhagwati Prasad*** versus ***Delhi State Mineral Development Corporation***, AIR 1990 SCC 371.

2. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioner to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

be considered on its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioner. Needless to add, the authorities shall pass a reasoned order, which shall be communicated to all concerned.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also the pending application(s), if any.

(Sanjay Karol),
Judge.

31st October, 2013.
(priti)

(V.K. Sharma),
Judge.