IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.7230 of 2013-C

<u>Date of decision</u>: 29.11.2013

Smt. Roshani Devi

.... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Himachal Pradesh and others

.... Respondents.

Coram

reliefs:-

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge. The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate

General with Mr. D.C. Pathik, Addl. A.G., Mr. R.S. Verma, Addl. A.G. and

Mr. Ramesh Thakur, Asstt. A.G.

Sanjay Karol, Judge (Oral)

Petitioner has prayed mainly for the following

- "I That a writ in the nature of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction may kindly be issued quashing the action of the respondents more specifically Annexure P-11 dated 26.6.2013 whereby the Petitioner has not been permitted to join her duties on regularization.
- II That a writ ion the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or direction may

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

kindly be issued directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to join her duties at the station assigned to her, with continuity in service.

- III. That the respondents may be further directed to pay the salary to the petitioner w.e.f. April 2013 to till date in the pay scale attached to the post Sweeper on regularization."
- 2. We find that vide impugned order dated 26.6.2013 (Annexure P-11), petitioner's case for regularization for the post of Sweeper, stands rejected. Response now stands filed by the State annexing communication dated 29.10.2013 (Annexure R-1).
- 3. No other point is urged.
- 4. We are happy to note that the Inspector General of Police, Welfare & Administration, Himachal Pradesh, has now recommended the case of the petitioner to the State for relaxing the condition(s) for regularization, more so, on the ground that petitioner is physically challenged and she has been satisfactorily performing her duties for more than 23 years. We are quite hopeful that State shall sympathetically consider this request of the Inspector General of Police, and take all necessary actions for ensuring appointment of

the petitioner on regular basis. Needful be done within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of certified copy of the order.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also, the pending application(s), if any.

Copy Dasti.

(Sanjay Karol), Judge.

(Rajiv Sharma), Judge.

November 29, 2013 (KS)