

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA
CWP No. 6324 of 2013-B.
Date of decision: 30th August, 2013.

Jaunfi Ram. **.....Petitioner.**

Versus

H.P.S.E.B. Ltd. & anr. **.....Respondents.**

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surinder Singh, J.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.K. Sharma, J.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate.

For the respondents : Ms. Sharmila Patial, Advocate.

Surinder Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioner shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which his case is covered by the decision rendered by this Court in ***LPA No.92 of 2009***, titled as ***HPSEB and others versus Sumitra Devi***, decided on 4.8.2010.

2. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioner to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any such request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be

¹ ***Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?***

considered on its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioner. Needless to add, the authorities shall pass a reasoned order, which shall be communicated to all concerned.

3. With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of as not pressed, so also, the pending applications(s), if any.

(Surinder Singh) J.

(V.K. Sharma) J.

August 30, 2013.
(vs)