

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA**

**COPC No. 347 of 2012 alongwith
COPC Nos. 348, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387,
388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 411, 413,
414, 415, 416, 417, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424,
425, 426, 427, 428 and 346 of 2012, 119
and 127 of 2013.**

Date of Decision: 28th June, 2013.

1. COPC No. 347 of 2012.

Dal Bahadur.	Versus	.. Petitioner.
Shri N.L. Sharma.		.. Respondent.

2. COPC No. 348 of 2012.

Radha.	Versus	.. Petitioner.
Shri N.L. Sharma.		.. Respondent.

3. COPC No. 383 of 2012.

Arjun Singh and Anr.	Versus	.. Petitioners.
Shri L.N. Sharma.		.. Respondent.

4. COPC No. 384 of 2012.

Sunita and others.	Versus	.. Petitioners.
Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr.		.. Respondents.

5. COPC No. 385 of 2012.

Nurbu & others.	Versus	.. Petitioners.
Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr.		.. Respondents.

6. COPC No. 386 of 2012.

Ram Bahadur.	Versus	.. Petitioner.
--------------	--------	----------------

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

7. COPC No. 387 of 2012.

Asha Bahadur. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

8. COPC No. 388 of 2012.

Dev Parshad and anr. .. Petitioners.
Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

9. COPC No. 389 of 2012.

Renuka. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

10. COPC No. 390 of 2012.

Baghbir Singh and others .. Petitioners.
Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

11. COPC No. 391 of 2012.

Kanchha and anr. .. Petitioners.
Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

12. COPC No. 392 of 2012.

Shiv Kumari. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

13. COPC No. 393 of 2012.

Man Bahadur and anr. .. Petitioners.
Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

14. COPC No. 394 of 2012.

Geeta and Ors. .. Petitioners.
Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

15. **COPC No. 411 of 2012.**

Dhan Bahadur. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

16. **COPC No. 413 of 2012.**

Govind and anr. .. Petitioners.
Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

17. **COPC No. 414 of 2012.**

Umesh Kumar. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

18. **COPC No. 415 of 2012.**

Shiv Kumar. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

19. **COPC No. 416 of 2012.**

Dev Raj. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

20. **COPC No. 417 of 2012.**

Smt. Bimla Devi. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

21. **COPC No. 420 of 2012.**

Rabhubir Singh and ors. .. Petitioners.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

22. COPC No. 421 of 2012.

Ram Singh and another. .. Petitioners.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

23. COPC No. 422 of 2012.

Ram Singh and anr. .. Petitioners.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

24. COPC No. 423 of 2012.

Smt. Kalpana. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

25. COPC No. 424 of 2012.

Tek Bahadur. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

26. COPC No. 425 of 2012.

Sham Lal. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

27. COPC No. 426 of 2012.

Tara Devi. .. Petitioner.
Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma. .. Respondent.

28. COPC No. 427 of 2012.

Kaushlya Devi .. Petitioner.
Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr. .. Respondents.

29. COPC No. 428 of 2012.

Shanu Kanchha and others.

.. Petitioners.

Versus

Jagdish Chand Sharma and anr.

.. Respondents.

30. COPC No. 346 of 2012.

Sita Devi.

.. Petitioner.

Versus

Shri N.L. Sharma.

.. Respondent.

31. COPC No. 119 of 2013.

Sheetla Devi.

.. Petitioner.

Versus

Shri Pardeep Chauhan.

.. Respondent.

32. COPC No. 127 of 2013.

Kamla Devi.

.. Petitioner.

Versus

Shri Pradeep Chauhan.

.. Respondent.

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surinder Singh, Judge.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner(s):

Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the respondent(s):

**Mr. M.A. Khan and Mr. V.K. Verma,
Additional Advocates General with Mr.
Ramesh Thakur, Assistant Advocate
General.**

Surinder Singh, J. (Oral)

On 30.11.2012, the learned Advocate General
informed that SLPs were filed in the Supreme Court

¹ Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

against the impugned judgment(s), which fact was recorded in the daily order. Thereafter, the respondents were given time with liberty open to comply with orders.

2. Now, it is stated that the SLPs stood already dismissed on 22.3.2013. Even three months time has elapsed thereafter, but the impugned judgment(s) have not been complied with. Shri M.A. Khan, learned Additional Advocate General, seeks further one month's time to comply the orders, which is accorded, in the interest of justice.

3. The respondents are hereby directed to implement the impugned judgment(s) of this Court on or before 31.7.2013 positively. It is made clear that in case the impugned judgment(s) is/are not implemented, the law will take its own course and the Court may pass coercive orders.

4. With these directions, all these petitions stand disposed of. Notices discharged.

(Surinder Singh),
Judge.

June 28, 2013.
(rc)

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary),
Judge.