

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.**

**CWP No.3483 of 2013-I.**

**Date of decision : 31.5.2013**

---

Sunita Kashyap		...Petitioner.
	Versus	
State of H.P. and others		...Respondents.

---

*Coram*

***The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dev Darshan Sud, Judge.***

***The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh, Judge.***

For the Petitioner : Mr.Sunil M. Goel, Advocate.  
For the Respondents : Mr.B.S. Parmar and Mr.V.S. Chauhan,  
Additional Advocate Generals.

---

**Dev Darshan Sud, Judge (Oral):**

On the facts as pleaded in the writ petition and on the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, it is submitted that the petitioner would feel satisfied in case the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to the second respondent within two weeks from today.

2. In these circumstances, we deem it appropriate that it will be open to the petitioner to approach the second respondent within two weeks from today who shall dispose it of by a speaking order within next four weeks thereafter. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to approach this Court again if need so arises. In the meanwhile, the transfer order Annexure P-7 shall not be implemented so far as it affects the petitioner.

***Dasti*** copy on usual terms.

**(Dev Darshan Sud)  
Judge.**

**(Kuldip Singh)  
Judge.**

May 31, 2013  
(tilak)