

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

CWP No. 3207 of 2013.

Date of Decision: 31st May, 2013.

Lal ChandPetitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. & othersRespondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dev Darshan Sud, J.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh, J.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the Petitioner: Ms. Yogita Dutt Sharma and Divya Pal Singh, Advocates.

For Respondents No.1 to 3: Mr. B.S. Parmar, Addl. A.G. with Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Addl. A.G. and Mr. Kush Sharma, Dy. A.G.

Dev Darshan Sud, J (Oral).

On the facts as pleaded in the writ petition and on the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, it is submitted that the petitioner would feel satisfied in case the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to the second respondent.

2. In these circumstances, we deem it appropriate that it will be open to the petitioner to approach the second respondent within two weeks from today who shall dispose it of by a speaking order within next four weeks thereafter. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to approach this Court again if need so arises.

¹ *Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.*

3. All pending miscellaneous applications also stand disposed
of.

Dasti copy.

**(Dev Darshan Sud),
Judge**

**31st May, 2013.
(jai)**

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**