

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

CWP No. 2546 of 2013-E

Date of Decision: 30th April, 2013.

Anup Ram

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors.

....Respondents.

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dev Darshan Sud, J.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, J.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the Petitioner :

Mr. Lalit K. Sehgal, Advocate

For Respondents No.1 to 4: Mr. B.S. Parmar, Addl.A.G. with Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Mr. Kush Sharma, Dy. A.G. and Mr. Vikram Thakur, Dy. A.G.

Dev Darshan, J. (oral)

Writ petition disposed of with the direction that it will be open to the petitioner herein to make representation to the second respondent detailing the facts and circumstances of the case which necessitated the him to stay at Nahan to look after his wife, who is suffering from psychiatric disorder and is constantly under the supervision of Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh. We expect that as and when such representation is made by the petitioner herein to the second respondent, he shall consider the case of the petitioner in its true perspective and shall dispose of the same by a speaking order. The petitioner shall be granted an opportunity of personal hearing in case he so desires. Let such representation be made within two weeks from today

¹ *Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.*

which shall be disposed of by the second respondent within four weeks thereafter. In the meantime, the petitioner shall not be transferred out of Nahan. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to approach this Court again if need so arises.

2. All pending miscellaneous applications also stand disposed of.

Dasti copy.

**(Dev Darshan Sud),
Judge**

**(Dharam Chand Chaudhary)
Judge**

30th April, 2013.
(jai)