

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

**COPC No.151 of 2013 along with COPC Nos.152,
153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160 and
4077 of 2013**

Decided on: 31.07.2013

1. COPC No.151 of 2013

Kuldeep Singh & another

....Petitioners.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents.

2. COPC No.152 of 2013

Mukesh Kumar

....Petitioner.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents

3. COPC No.153 of 2013

Suman Kumar

....Petitioner.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents

4. COPC No.154 of 2013

Vijay Kumar

....Petitioner.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents

5. COPC No.155 of 2013

Satwant Singh

....Petitioner.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents

6. COPC No.156 of 2013

Kamal Singh

....Petitioner.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents

7. COPC No.157 of 2013

Rakesh Kumar

....Petitioner.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents

8. COPC No.158 of 2013

Purshotam Dutt

....Petitioner.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents

9. COPC No.159 of 2013

Rajeev Kumar

....Petitioner.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents

10. COPC No.160 of 2013

Mukesh Kumar

....Petitioner.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents

11. COPC No.4077 of 2013

Davinder Bhardwaj

....Petitioner.

Versus

Onkar Chand Sharma & another.

...Respondents

Coram**The Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.M. Khanwilkar, Chief Justice.****The Hon'ble Mr. Justice R.B. Misra, Judge.*****Whether approved for reporting?'***

For the Petitioner(s): Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Varun Chandel, Mr. O.C. Sharma and
Mr. Vimal Kishore, Advocates.

Justice R.B. Misra, J .(oral)

It has been brought to our notice that Himachal Road Transport Corporation is going to provide appointments on contractual basis to the petitioners. To that effect, a letter has been produced before us, which is taken on record.

2. In view of these facts and circumstances, cause of action does not survive, as prayed for by the learned counsel for the respondents-alleged contemnors. However, if petitioners are not satisfied with the appointments given on contractual basis, they may approach before the appropriate forum for appropriate remedy. However, nothing remains to proceed with these contempt petitions, accordingly the same are disposed of.

(A.M. Khanwilkar)
Chief Justice

(R.B. Misra)
Judge

July 31, 2013
(sl/Purohit)

Whether the reporters of the local papers maybe allowed to see the judgment?