IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

<u>CMP No.1231 of 2012 in</u> <u>RSA No.324 of 2008-D</u> Date of decision: 28.2.2013

Sh. Bhopal Singh, S/o late Sh. Dula Ram, resident of Village and Post Office, Sainj, Tehsil Theog, District, Shimla, H.P.

..Appellant.

Versus

- 1. Smt. Roopi Devi, widow of late Sh. Dhani Ram.
- 2. Sh. Raj Kumar, S/o late Sh. Dhani Ram.
- 3. Sh. Bittu alias Ravinder, S/o late Sh. Dhani Ram.

(All residents of Village and Pot Office Sainj, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla, H.P.).

..Respondents.

Regular Second Appeal under Section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.K. Sharma, J.

¹Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the appellant: Mr. B.C. Verma, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Jeevesh Sharma and Mr. M.S.

Thakur, Advocates.

V.K. Sharma, J. (Oral).

Reply not to be filed.

2. Heard. The application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, is allowed in view of the grounds stated therein. Consequently, the appellant is permitted to withdraw the appeal, pursuant to compromise between the parties.

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? No.

3. The application, as also the appeal, stand disposed of.

(V.K. Sharma) Judge

February 28, 2013 (rkv)