

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.**

**Review Petition No. 4 of 2013  
along with connected matters  
Decided on: 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2013**

---

**Review Petition No. 4 of 2013**

Prakash Chand .....Petitioner.  
Versus  
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

**Review Petition No. 5 of 2013**

Jeet Ram .....Petitioner.  
Versus  
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

**Review Petition No. 6 of 2013**

Ram Dass .....Petitioner.  
Versus  
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

**Review Petition No. 7 of 2013**

Harnam Singh .....Petitioner.  
Versus  
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

**Review Petition No. 8 of 2013**

Kali Dass .....Petitioner.  
Versus  
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

**Review Petition No. 9 of 2013**

Sanjay Kumar .....Petitioner.  
Versus  
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

---

Coram

**The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dev Darshan Sud, Judge.**

**The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.**

**Whether approved for reporting ?<sup>1</sup> No.**

**For the petitioners : Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate.**

**For the respondents : Mr. B.S. Parmar, Addl.A.G with Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Addl. A.G, Mr. Kush Sharma, Dy. A.G and Mr. Vikram Thakur, Dy. A.G.**

---

**Dev Darshan Sud, Judge** (Oral)

No case for review made out. We find from the judgment, challenged in review that the decision is based on the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Liaq Ram versus State of H.P. CWP No. 1486 of 2007, decided on 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2011.***

Learned counsel for the petitioner makes out a case that subsequent thereto, orders have been passed, granting seniority and continuity in service in some other cases. That is not a matter for review. We, therefore, reject these review petitions. It will be open to the petitioners to seek appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

2. All pending miscellaneous application(s) also stand disposed of.

(Dev Darshan Sud)  
Judge

April 30, 2013  
(naveen)

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary)  
Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes