

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

**Review Petition No. 4 of 2013
along with connected matters
Decided on: 30th April, 2013**

Review Petition No. 4 of 2013

Prakash ChandPetitioner.
Versus
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

Review Petition No. 5 of 2013

Jeet RamPetitioner.
Versus
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

Review Petition No. 6 of 2013

Ram DassPetitioner.
Versus
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

Review Petition No. 7 of 2013

Harnam SinghPetitioner.
Versus
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

Review Petition No. 8 of 2013

Kali DassPetitioner.
Versus
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

Review Petition No. 9 of 2013

Sanjay KumarPetitioner.
Versus
State of H.P. & Others. ..Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dev Darshan Sud, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting ?¹ No.

For the petitioners : Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. B.S. Parmar, Addl.A.G with Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Addl. A.G, Mr. Kush Sharma, Dy. A.G and Mr. Vikram Thakur, Dy. A.G.

Dev Darshan Sud, Judge (Oral)

No case for review made out. We find from the judgment, challenged in review that the decision is based on the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Liaq Ram versus State of H.P. CWP No. 1486 of 2007, decided on 6th January, 2011.***

Learned counsel for the petitioner makes out a case that subsequent thereto, orders have been passed, granting seniority and continuity in service in some other cases. That is not a matter for review. We, therefore, reject these review petitions. It will be open to the petitioners to seek appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

2. All pending miscellaneous application(s) also stand disposed of.

(Dev Darshan Sud)
Judge

April 30, 2013
(naveen)

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary)
Judge

¹ Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes