

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 31ST DAY OF OCTOBER 2013

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

WRIT PETITION NOs. 32900-32901/2009 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

- 1 U. NALINI BAI
D/O LATE U SRINIVASA SHANUBHOGUE
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
R/AT NO.360, 6TH CROSS
NEETHIMARG, SIDDARTH NAGAR 2ND STAGE,
MYSORE-570 011

2. U VASUDEVA RAO
S/O LATE U SRINIVASA SHANUBHOGUE
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
R/AT PANCHAMAHAL, MULKI,
DAKSHINA KANNADA DISTRICT

PETITIONER NO.2 IS REP. BY HIS POWER OF
ATTORNEY HOLDER THE 1ST PETITIONER.

... PETITIONERS COMMON

(BY SRI. KRISHNAPPA, ADV. - ABSENT)

AND

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY TO
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BANGALORE-560 001

2. THE SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE
MANGALORE SUB DIVISION,
MANGALORE. DAKSHINA KANNADA DISTRICT
3. SMT WILHELMINA GRETA
D/O ALEXIS KARKADA
AGED MAJOR, R/AT KAMBLE CROSS ROAD,
BARKET GARDEN, MANGALORE
DAKSHINA KANNADA DSITRICT.

...RESPONDENTS IN
W.P NO. 32900/2009:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY TO
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BANGALORE-560 001
2. THE SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE
MANGALORE SUB DIVISION,
MANGALORE, DAKSHINA KANNADA DISTRICT
3. SRI PRABHAKAR ACHARYA
S/O SHANKARA ACHARYA
AGE MAJOR, R/AT KAMBLE CROSS ROAD,
BARKET GARDEN, MANGALORE
DAKSHINA KANNADA DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS IN
W.P NO. 32901/2009

(BY SRI H.V.MANJUNATH, AGA FOR R1 AND R2
SMT. PARIMITHA.S.CHAMAL, ADV. FOR R3 & 4 IN
W.P.NO.32900/2009.)

-0-0-0-0-

THESE WRIT PETITIONS ARE FILED UNDER
ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DT. 13.7.2009 MARKED AS ANNEX-Q AS WELL AS THE ORDER DT. 3.8.2009 MARKED AS ANNEX-R PASSED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

THESE WRIT PETITIONS COMING ON FOR HEARING THIS DAY, THE COURT PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

There was no representation for the petitioner on the last date of hearing. Hence, it was adjourned to provide one more opportunity. Even today when the petitions are taken up for consideration there is no representation. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the petitions.

2. The petitions are accordingly dismissed.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

*alb/-.