

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 31st DAY OF MAY, 2013

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.L.MANJUNATH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L.NARAYANA SWAMY

WRIT APPEAL NO. 3928/2012(SC/ST)

BETWEEN:

- 1 SRI NAGARAJAIAH
S/O MUNISWAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS
R/AT KADUBEESANAHALLI VILLAGE
VARTHUR HOBLI, BANGALORE
EAST TALUK, BANGALORE
- 2 SRI MUNIVENKATAPPA
S/O DODDA YELLAPPA
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
R/AT KADUBEESANAHALLI VILLAGE
VARTHUR HOBLI, BANGALORE
EAST TALUK, BANGALORE
- 3 SRI NARAYANAPPA
S/O DODDA YELLAPPA
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
R/AT KADUBEESANAHALLI VILLAGE
VARTHUR HOBLI, BANGALORE
EAST TALUK, BANGALORE
- 4 SRI ANNAPPA @ YELLAPPA
S/O SRI KAVERAPPA @ AVALAHALLI KAVERIGA
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS
R/AT KADUBEESANAHALLI VILLAGE
VARTHUR HOBLI, BANGALORE
EAST TALUK, BANGALORE

... APPELLANTS

(By Sri : M NAGESH FOR LAXAXIS (ABSENT))

AND :

- 1 THE SPECIAL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE URBAN DISTRICT
BANGALORE
- 2 THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE NORTH SUB-DIVISION
BANGALORE
- 3 SMT NAIDU VENKATA SESHAMMA
W/O NAIDU SRENIVASULU REDDY
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS
R/AT LEBURU VILLAGE
NELLORE DISTRICT, A.P.
- 4 SHRI DAMAGUNTA VENKATESH REDDY
S/O SHANKARA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS
R/AT MADURAVARTHI VILLAGE
KOPPUR MANDALAM
NELLORE DISTRICT, A.P.
- 5 SMT DAMAGUNTA RAJESWARAMMA
W/O SRI SHANKARA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS
R/AT MADURAVARTHI VILLAGE
KOPPUR MANDALAM
NELLORE DISTRICT, A.P.
- 6 SRI DHAMANGUNTA SUBBA REDDY
S/O SRI VARADA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS
R/AT MADURAVARTHI VILLAGE,
KOPPUR MANDALAM
NELLORE DISTRICT, A.P.

7 SMT KONDA BUJJAMMA
W/O RAMANA REDDY
AGED ABOUT YEARS
PUNUVALLI VILLAGE
BUCHI REDDY MANDAL
NELLORE DISTRICT, A.P.

... RESPONDENTS

(By Sri :M.KESHAHA REDDY, AGA FOR R1 & 2)

THIS WRIT APPEAL FILED U/S 4 OF THE
KARNATAKA HIGH COURT ACT PRAYING TO SET
ASIDE THE ORDER PASSED IN THE WRIT PETITION
41721/11 & 6063-65/12 DATED 29/2/12

THIS APPEAL COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS
DAY, MANJUNATH J, DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

The Appeal was presented on 18th July 2012 with full of defects. Since the counsel did not cure the defects, the matter was listed before the Registrar Judicial on 19.11.2012. The appellant's counsel did not appear before him. Still he had granted two weeks time. Even thereafter, he did not comply with the Office objections. Therefore the matter was listed before the court on 1.3.2013. Two weeks time was granted as a last chance to comply with the office objections.

2. Till today Office objections are not cured. The appellant's counsel was not present when the case was called in the morning. Again the case take up at 1.25 p.m. and he is not present before the court.

3. Therefore, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Sd/-
JUDGE

Ak