

W.P. No.5284/2013**30.4.2013**

Shri Umesh Gajankush, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Pramod Meetha, learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner has filed the present writ petition challenging the order of the Collector dated 3.4.2013.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has fairly stated before this Court that against the said order the petitioner has preferred Revision before the State Government. He has submitted that in the said Revision Petition the petitioner has filed an application for interim relief which has not been decided till now and on account of the non consideration of the said application, the action is being taken in pursuance to the impugned direction of the Collector.

Counsel for the State has not disputed the fact that the stay application filed by the petitioner needs to be decided by the Revisional Authority expeditiously.

Considering the aforesaid aspect of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent no.1 to decide the petitioner's application for stay within 10 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petitioner is permitted to appear before the respondent no.1 on 3.5.2013 and submit a copy of this order to respondent no.1 for necessary compliance.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(Prakash Shrivastava)
J U D G E