

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. (S) NO. 5458 of 2012

PETITIONER

: Mukesh Kumar Dadsena, son of
Sonu Ram Dansena, aged about 42
years, R/o. Ward No.3, Pithora,
Tahsil, P.S. Pithora, District
Mahasamund (C.G.),

R No. 5458/12
Presented by Shri. R. Pradham
Dated. 10/12/12

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. The State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : The Secretary,
Panchayat & Gramin Vikas Vibhag,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya,
Raipur (C.G.)
2. Collector, Mahasamund (C.G.),
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila
Panchayat, Mahasamund (C.G.)
4. Anurag Pankaj Kumar (Panchayat
Lecturer, English), Govt. Higher
Secondary School, Bundeli, District
Mahasamund (C.G.),

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER**

As mentioned in the cause title.

2. **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS**

As mentioned in the cause title.





129

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S). NO. 5458/2012 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>SB: HON'BLE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA, J</p> <p>28.02.2013</p> <p>Shri Raghavendra Pradhan counsel for the Petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Govt. Advocate, for the State.</p> <p>The impugned order dated 06.12.2012 under challenge in this writ petition has been passed by the Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Mahasamund, attaching the petitioner at Government Higher Secondary School Bundeli and bringing Respondent No.4 Anurag Pankaj Kumar in attachment at the petitioner's present place of posting.</p> <p>Return has been filed by respondents 1 & 2 i.e., State of Chhattisgarh and the Collector, Mahasamund, however, no return has been filed by Zila Panchayat, Mahasamund, whose order is under challenge in this writ petition.</p> <p>The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Mahasamund, has sworn affidavit in support of the return filed by Respondents 1 & 2. It is strange to note as to why the same officer who is the CEO of the Zila Panchayat has chosen not to file return on behalf of Zila Panchayat, Mahasamund.</p> <p>Since the Zila Panchayat, Mahasamund, has not controverted any of the allegations made in the writ petition, the same is allowed and the impugned order dated 06.12.2012 is quashed.</p>	
Rao		<p>Sd/- Prashant Kumar Mishra Judge</p>