

e-f-100t

3

Single Bench

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WRIT PETITION (S) NO...2370/2013**

**PETITIONER**

Vishwanath Prasad Patel Son of  
Shri Shankar Prasad Patel, aged  
about 59 years, working as  
Lecturer (Hindi) Government  
Higher Secondary School Nimha,  
Post & Thana Nimha, Block  
Lakhanpur, Civil & Revenue Distt.  
Sarguja (C.G.)

R No. 2370/13  
Presented by Shri. R-S. Patel  
Dated. 07/08/13

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through -the  
Secretary, Department of Tribal &  
Schedule Caste Development,  
Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan  
Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Commissioner, Tribal Welfare  
Schedule Caste, Development  
Department, Dist. Raipur (C.G.),
3. The Assistant Commissioner, Tribal  
Welfare Office Collectorate Campus  
Sarguja Dist. Sarguja (C.G.)
4. R.N. Yadav, Promoted Principal,  
Government Higher Secondary  
School Tejpur, Ramanuj Nagar,  
Post & Thana Ramanuj Nagar, civil  
& revenue Dist. Koriya (C.G.)



96

4

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF**  
**THE COUNSTITUTION OF INDIA.**

---



W.P. (S) 2370/13

आदि (प्रादि)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<b><u>SB : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRITINKER DIWAKER</u></b>	
	<b><u>30.08.2013</u></b>	
	Shri R. S. Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Shri N. N. Roy, P.L. for the State.	
	Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 05.06.2013 by which according to the petitioner number of his juniors have been promoted to the post of Principal from that of headmaster/lecturer.	
	Contention of counsel for the petitioner is that considering his seniority he ought to have been promoted ahead of his juniors but his case has been ignored by the respondents for the best reasons known to them. He submits that at this stage he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to make detailed representation before respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 may be directed to decide his representation considering all the aspects of the case. He further submits that almost in similar case i.e. W.P. (S) No. 2265/13 direction has been issued by this Court for deciding the representation of the petitioner within six weeks.	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.(S) 2370/13

मामला क्रमांक

सन 20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबन्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन प्रामाण्य में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>State counsel has no objection if the petitioner makes any such representation and the same is decided by the competent authority in accordance with law.</p> <p>The petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with the liberty as aforesaid. In the eventuality of filing any such representation by the petitioner before respondent No.1, respondent No.1 shall decide the same in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the said representation.</p> <p>It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case and the authorities concerned would be at liberty to decide the representation strictly in accordance with law.</p> <p>The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.</p>	<p>Sd/- Pritinker Diwakar Judge</p>