

CF 1009)

SINGLE BENCH

BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 729

PETITIONER

V.K. Kewar.

S/o. Late Shri Babulal Kewar,

Aged about 53 years,

Sub Engineer, Janpad Panchayat

Korba, District Korba (C.G.)

VERSUS

<u>RESPONDENTS</u>

State of Chhattisgarh,

Through: - Secretary & Development Commissioner, Rural Engineering Services Panchayat and Rural Welfare Mantralaya, Department D.K.S.

Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. ΑII India Council for Technical Education, Through-Chairman, Gandhi Indira Indoor Stadium, I.P.Estate, New Delhi - 110002

3.

University Grants Commission, Through lt's Chairman, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002

Distance Education Council, Indira Gandhi Open University, K-76, Hauz Khas, New Delhi- 110016

5. Janardan Rai Nagar Rajsthan Vidya Peeth (Deemed University), Through - It's Vice Chacellor, Janardan Rai Rajsthan Nagar Vidya Peeth Pratapnagar, Udaypur - 313001,

Rajasthan.





WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

wp 6) no. 729/10

148

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	SB : Hon'ble Shri Manindra Moh 30-04-13	an Shrivastava, J.
	Shri Anup Majumdar, coun	sel for the petitioner/s.
	Shri Arun Sao, Govt. Advoc	ate for the State.
	Ms. Renu Singh, counsel f	or respondents 2 and 3.
	Shri Shivendu Pandya, cou	nsel for respondent No.4.
V	Shri Sushil Dhuri, counsel	or respondent No.5.
	This petition has been filed	by the petitioner, who is aggrieved by the
	action of the respondents when	by the name of the petitioner has been
	deleted from the gradation list of	f Graduate Sub-Engineers on the ground
	that the degree possessed by th	e petitioner cannot be recognised because
	the degree which has been obt	ained by the petitioner is from a technical
	institution / engineering college	which is not approved by the All India
	Council of Technical Education.	
	After notices were issued	y this Court, the All India Council has filed
7 4.	return before this Court in W.P.() \$) No.158/10. In the reply, it is stated that
	the degree and diploma obtained	under the distance education programme,
	do not require approval and the	refore, the AICTE has no role to play for
	granting recognition to such degi	rees / diplomas in the professional courses.
	It appears that the State Go	ernment had decided not to recognise
	petitioner's degree on the ground	that though it requires approval by AICTE,
		ed by AICTE. However, from the return of
		E, in such cases, does not grant approval



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

गमला क्रमांक $wp(3) no \cdot 729/10$ सन् 20

14y

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — २ —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजि के अंतिम आदेश	स्ट्रार
	but there is some other m	echanism under which s	uch courses a
	recognised and approved. T	herefore, in these circums	tances, the Sta
	Government should now co	nsider petitioner's degree	by taking in
	consideration relevant aspects	including the mechanism	provided in case
	relating to degree under distance	ce education programme.	
	The consideration regar	ding approval and recognit	tion of petitione
	degree should be completed b	y the respondents within ar	n outer limit of s
	weeks from the date of receipt	of copy of this order, so the	nat in the event
	recognition granted by the Stat		
	of promotion as Graduate Engi		
	The petition is according	ly finally disposed off.	
		C.	9.4
Deepti		Manindra Mol	d/- nan Shrivastava dge
Deepti		Manindra Mol	
Deepti		Manindra Mol	nan Shrivastava
Deepti		Manindra Mol	nan Shrivastava
Deepti		Manindra Mol	nan Shrivastava
Deepti		Manindra Mol	nan Shrivastava
Deepli		Manindra Mol	nan Shrivastava
Deepli		Manindra Mol	nan Shrivastava
Deepti		Manindra Mol	nan Shrivastava