

①

C.F. 1001- ⑤
Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) NO. 2263 /2013

PETITIONER

: Bhupesh Chandrawanshi, son of
Late Shri R.K. Chandrawanshi,
Caste Kurmi, aged about 44 years,
resident of 171, Trimurti Chowk,
Sundar Nagar, Raipur, Civil and
Revenue District Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, P.S. Sundar Nagar.

2263/13
Sundar Nagar
26/1/13
dated

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through its Secretary, Department
of Co-operative, Mahanadi Bhawan,
Mantralaya, New Raipur, District
Raipur (C.G.)
2. Registrar Co-operative Society,
Vivekanand Nagar, Pension Bada,
Raipur, District Raipur,
Chhattisgarh
3. Chhattisgarh State Co-operative
Bank, Pandari Raipur, through its
Managing Director, District Raipur,
Chhattisgarh

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER**

As detailed above in the cause title.

2. **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS**

As detailed above in the cause title.



Handwritten signature

मामला क्रमांक W.P.CS) No. 2263/13
सन् 20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>SB : Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.</p> <p>31.7.2013</p> <p>Shri Sunil Otvani, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Y.S. Thakur, Dy. Advocate General for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Grievance of the petitioner, as ventilated through this petition, is that after a long-drawn litigation concerning validity of petitioner's appointment on the post of Grade-II Officer, wherein petitioner successfully merged after order passed by the Joint Director on 31.10.2008 (Annexure P-3), all the benefits which ought to have been granted to the petitioner, including promotion, increments and other benefits, have not been given.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once the dispute has come to an end, respondents should have considered petitioner's representation for grant of various benefits to which he would have been entitled but for long drawn litigation from 1997 to 2008.</p> <p>Though there exists remedy of filing service dispute under Section 55 (2) of the Co-operative Societies Act, 1960, in the peculiar circumstances of the present case where the petitioner has already been litigating for about 11 years, it would be proper to direct respondent No.3, at the first instance, to look into the grievance of the petitioner and consider claims of the petitioner. For this purpose, if the petitioner prefers detailed representation ventilating his grievances, relating to</p>	



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक सन् 20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
<p>Praveen</p>	<p>promotion, increments etc., that shall be looked into by respondent No.3 and decision shall be taken on the same within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the representation.</p> <p>If the grievance of the petitioner is still not redressed, it will be open for the petitioner to take recourse to the remedy available to him under the provision of the Co-operative Societies Act, 1960.</p> <p>The petition is accordingly finally disposed off.</p>	<p>Sd/- Manindra Mohan Shrivastava Judge</p>