C.F. 1001 (1)

# IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR WRIT PETITION (S) No. 1694 OF 2013

#### **PETITIONER**

Jitendra Koliyare, Aged about 24 years, S/O Vishwnath Koliyare, R/O Village Gowlitola, P.O. Ambagarh Chowki, Revenue/Civil Distt-Rajnandgaon (C.G).

#### **VERSUS**

#### **RESPONDENTS**

- The union of India, through Secretary,
   Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New
   Delhi, PIN 110001
- Director General of Central Reserve Police Force, Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi, PIN 110001
- Office of the Inspector General of Police,
   Chattisgarh special sector, Central Reserve
   Police force, Revenue/Civil Dist Raipur
   (CG).
- The Commandant,
   Office of the DGIP, GC CRPF, Bharni,
   Revenue/Civil Dist Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



## उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक \(\psi\) \(\omega\) । । 1694/13 सन् 20

#### आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
		The second secon

### SB : Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J. 28-06-2013

Shri Samir Singh, counsel for the petitioner/s.

Shri Vivek Shrivastava, counsel for respondents on advance copy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that aggrieved by order of termination, the petitioner has preferred representation to respondent No.3 on 28/01/13.

The pleadings and documents show that the petitioner was appointed on provisional basis vide order dated 04/08/11 and thereafter, his services have been terminated vide impugned order dated 07/12/12 (Annexure P/2), purporting to be under the terms of provisional appointment. The petitioner preferred representation which has remained pending before respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that if any such representation is pending, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law.

possible.

in view of the submission of learned counsel for the parties, this petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide petitioner's claim / representation against the order of termination as early as

> Sd//-Manindra Mohan Shrivastava Judge