IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAD AT RANCHI

B. A. No. 4826 of 2013

Ajay Kumar Meshram @ Ajay Meshram …… Petitioner

-Versus-

The State of Jharkhand ……...Opposite party.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE APARESH KUMAR SINGH

For the Petitioner : Mr. Parth S. A. Swaroop Pati, Adv.
For the State : A.P.P.

2/ 31.5.2013 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned A.P.P. appearing for the State.

The petitioner has been made an accused in connection with Mango P. S. Case No. 104 of 2013, corresponding to G.R. No. 620 of 2013, registered under Sections 406/420/379/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

It is stated on behalf of the petitioner that on the allegation of the same informant, the cases containing same allegation of theft of Rs. 1,19,97,800 agaisnt the employees of Writer Safeguard Pvt. Ltd., Jamshedpur, the cash management company providing ATM services to various banks is being lodged where the petitioner along with others are being made accused, even the amounts alleged are the same. It is submitted that in connection with Bistipur P. S. Case No. 380 of 2012, the same allegations are levelled against the petitioner, in which this court has been pleased to grant him bail in B.A. No. 1688 of 2013 vide order dated 19th March, 2013, subject to the certain conditions. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner should not be subjected to incarceration for same offence by registering F.I.R. in different police stations.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State, however, opposes the prayer for bail.

In the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner has been granted bail in respect of other Bistipur P.S. Case No. 380 of 2012 where the allegations of theft of same amount of money from ATM against the petitioner and others have been made, hence the above-named petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of S.D.J.M., Jamshedpur, in connection with Mango P. S. Case No. 104 of 2013, corresponding to G. R. No. 620 of 2013, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors will be local resident having immovable property within the jurisdiction of the district concerned and the

petitioner will remain physically present before the trial court on each and every date of the trial till the conclusion of the trial.

If the petitioner fails to appear before the trial court on a single date, the trial court is directed to cancel his bail.

(Aparesh Kumar Singh, J)

jk