

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 765 of 2010

Ajay Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & others

.... Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.N. PATEL

For the Petitioner : Mr. Deepak Kumar Prasad, Advocate

For the Jharkhand-State : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Gupta, J.C. to Sr. S.C.-I

For the Bihar State : Mr. S.P. Roy, G.A.

12/Dated: 20th December, 2013

1. This contempt application has been preferred mainly for the reason that certain directions were given by this Court vide order dated 5th September, 2008 in earlier writ petition being W.P(S) No. 2311 of 2008.

2. Having heard counsel for both the sides and looking to the directions given by this Court, it appears that the departmental proceedings were directed to be completed and the same has been completed at some belated stage. Now, only question is left out is of consequential benefits to be given. It further appears looking to the affidavit filed by the opposite party that there are various vigilance cases pending against this applicant. The details of vigilance cases have been mentioned in the counter affidavit filed by the opposite parties. In detail there is narration of how the applicant is involved and in how many cases especially in an affidavit dated 5th September, 2013 filed by Under Secretary, General Administrative Department, State of Bihar, Patna.

3. In view of these facts, I see no reason to initiate action under the Contempt of Court's Act against the opposite parties. There is no willful disobedience of the order passed by this Court in earlier writ petition. Hence, this contempt application is hereby, dismissed.

(D.N. Patel, J)

VK/