

1. Sandip Paswan @ Sandip Kumar Paswan  
2. Basanti Devi .... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

*Coram : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.N.UPADHYAY*

*For the petitioner (s): Mr. Pran Pranay*  
*For the opposite party : Addl.P.P.*

**31.10.2013** Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the State.

This is an application for anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner in connection with Madhupur PS case No. 221 of 2012 for the offence registered under sections 498A/34 of the Indian Penal Code and sections 3/ 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Petitioner has been prosecuted for committing torture and treating the complainant with cruelty for want of more dowry.

It is submitted that the husband has been granted bail on the basis of the undertaking furnished by him that he would keep his wife (informant) with dignity and care. Petitioners are brother in law and mother in law .

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer.

Considering facts and circumstances of the case and grant of bail to the husband, both the petitioners above named are directed to appear/surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks positively from the date of this order and on their surrender, they shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learend SDJM, Madhupur, in connection with Madhupur PS case No.221/2012 ( GR No. 563 of 2012 ), subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

*Ambastha/-*

( D.N.Upadhyay,J.)