

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 10699 of 2013

1. Rinku Sah
2. Pintu Sah

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAV K. GUPTA

For the Petitioners : Mr. K.P. Deo, Advocate
For the State : A.P.P.

02/Dated: 29th November, 2013

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

Petitioners have been made an accused for the offence registered under Sections 147, 341, 323, 504, 448 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3(I)(IV)(X) of the S.C. S.T. Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that except for the offence under Section 3(I)(IV)(X) of the Atrocities Act, all other Sections are bailable; that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case on account of land dispute and other co-accused, Munna Sah including father of the petitioners, namely Ganesh Prasad Sah have been granted bail in B.A. No. 8383 of 2013 and B.A. No. 6688 of 2013 respectively; that the case of the petitioner stands on similar footing.

Learned A.P.P while opposing the prayer for bail of the petitioner, has not controverted the submissions advanced by the petitioner's counsel.

In the facts and circumstance of the case, the petitioners above named, are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Deoghar in connection with Kunda P.S. Case No. 04 of 2012 corresponding to G.R. No. 907 of 2012 (P.C.R. Case No. 155 of 2012).

(Amitav K. Gupta, J.)

Satayendra/-