IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.30188 of 2013

1. Raj Nandan Singh S/O Late Ramchandra Singh

2. Raja Mohan Singh @ Raj Mohan Singh S/O Sri Ram Bilash Singh

3. Suresh Singh S/O Gibodhan Singh

. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

with

Criminal Miscellaneous No.36700 of 2013

Jitram Singh, S/O-Late Ramashray Singh

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kr. Singh For the State : Mr. B. N. Pandey (A.P.P.)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR

SRIVASTAVA ORAL ORDER

2 30-08-2013

TOT OFFICIA

Both the above stated petitions arise out of Kurtha P.S. Case No. 98 of 1986 corresponding to Sessions Trial No. 97/94/185/12(old)/71/13 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 306, 341, 34, 352 of the Indian Penal Code and accordingly, both the above stated petitions are being disposed of by this common order.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

It would appear from perusal of the impugned order

that petitioners made themselves absent from the trial since 21.01.2011 and after that their bail bonds were cancelled.

The contention on behalf of the petitioners is that the record of above stated sessions trial was traceless for near about seven years and petitioners regularly attended the court since last seven years but unfortunately, no pairvi could be made on behalf of the petitioners on 21.01.2011 resulting cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioners.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as submissions of the parties, let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of 3rd Additional Sessions Judge, Jehanabad in connection with Sessions Trial No. 97/94/185/12(old)/71/13 arising out of Kurtha P.S. Case No. 98 of 1986.

Furthermore, the learned trial court must conclude the trial of the petitioners because the occurrence relates to the year of 1986.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

SHAHZAD/-

