

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11990 of 2013

=====

Santosh Kumar S/o Shri Sravesh Prasad of Village- and P.O. Pilichh, P.S. Parwalpur, District-Naland, presently Panchayat Teacher –cum-Incharge Head Master (Pradhana Adhayapak), Primary School, Pilichh, Parwalpur, Nalanda. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Research and Training Council (Education), Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Education Officer, Nalanda, Biharsharief
5. The Principal, District Education and Training Programme Officer (S.S.A) Nalanda.
6. The District Programme Officer (S.S.A) Nalanda
7. The Block Education Officer, parwalpur, Biharsharief, Nalanda
8. Krishna Nandandsf Prasad, Teacher, Primary School Mardand Bigha, P.O. & P.S. Parwalpur, District-Nalanda.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vikash Kr. And Bal Mukund Pd. Sinha
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sanjay Kr.-I, SC-12


=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MIHIR KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-06-2013

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has some grievance with regard to the selection of Block Resources Centre Coordinator. From the averment of the writ application it would appear that on 07.03.2013 the petitioner filed application both for BRP and CRCC post but on 12.03.2013 he has withdrawn his candidature for the post of BRP. Subsequently, the petitioner claims that on 25.3.2013 he had filed an application that on account of certain



misunderstanding he had withdrawn his application and that he would still like to be considered for the post of BRP. The grievance of the petitioner is that despite such application filed by him on 25.3.2013 which were followed by his reminders dated 10.04.2013, no action was taken and respondent no.8 was selected and appointed as BRP while the petitioner was selected and appointed on CRCC. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner all these aspect has also been raised by the petitioner before the Director, Research and Training in his representation dated 10.5.2013.

In the considered opinion of this Court, whether withdrawal of candidature of the petitioner was voluntarily or it was on certain pressure is a matter of enquiry. Inasmuch as the petitioner has himself filed his representation before the Director, Research and Training, this Court would direct the said Authority to consider the whole issue and take his final decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order.

With the aforementioned observation, this application is disposed of.

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J)

A.Ahmad/-