

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8850/2012
(Sardar Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)
along with 5 ors.

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JAIPUR BENCH, JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8850/2012
(Sardar Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)

AND

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8857/2012
(Mukesh Kumar Jat & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)

AND

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8910/2012
(Raghuvir Swami Vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.)

AND

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8911/2012
(Laxmi Kant Gurjar Vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.)

AND

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8928/2012
(Tulsee Singh Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)

AND

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8918/2012
(Kumarjeet Singh Vs. Government of Rajasthan & Anr.)

Date of Order :: 31st May, 2012

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.N. BHANDARI

Mr.Dharmendra Barala]
Mr.Manoj Singh Raghav]
Mr.Kartar Singh]
Mr.Akhilesh Pareek], for the petitioners.

By the Court:

In this writ petition, grievance of petitioners is in regard to certain questions having wrong answers.

It is stated that few petitioners have made representation/s with the supportive material. It is prayed that aforesaid questions may be ignored or bonus marks may be awarded.

I have considered the submission made by the counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

The grievance of petitioners is regarding answers to certain questions, which could be noticed on publication of key on the inter-net. Few petitioners have already made representation/s against disputed answers. As per the judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court, any dispute to the answers of questions is required to be evaluated by the respondents themselves and it can be with the help of experts by constituting their committee. In the light of the aforesaid, when representations have already been given, the respondents should look into it and if need be seek opinion of the experts.

It is stated that representations are supported by reference books containing correct answers.

In the light of the aforesaid, all the writ petitions so as the stay application are disposed off with the direction to the respondents to look into the representations of the petitioners raising dispute to certain questions and answers. The respondents would be at liberty to seek opinion of independent expert body or to constitute a Committee.

The required exercise may be undertaken by the

respondents within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order to avoid further litigation in the matter and to see that appointments can be made at the earliest.

Since representations of the petitioners would be considered by the respondents as directed above, they are expected not to finalize selection till than or if it has been finalized, not to proceed further. This is to avoid further complication in the matter. If nothing is found in the representations of the petitioners, they may be conveyed accordingly by a speaking order. However, if substance is found in the issues pertaining to answers of certain questions, the respondents would be at liberty to rectify their mistake so as to issue fresh select list.

In case of any difficulty in carry out the direction above, the respondents would be at liberty to make an application for clarification/modification of this order.

(M.N. BHANDARI), J.
preety, Jr.P.A.

*S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8850/2012
(Sardar Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)
along with 5 ors.*

5

**All corrections made in the judgment/order have been incorporated in the
judgment/order being emailed.**

*Preety Asopa
Jr.P.A.*