\$~10,11,12 & 13 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW Delhi

W.P.(C) 2051/2012 & CM No.4469/2012

TRILOK CHAND AND ANR. Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sudhanshu Tomar, Advocate

versus

ASHWANI MEHTA AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. B.K. Sood & Mr. Manik Sood,

Advocates

W.P.(C) 2187/2012 & CM No.4736 /2012

LEELA WATI Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhanshu Tomar, Advocate

versus

SATINDER KAUR Respondent

Through: Mr. B.K. Sood & Mr. Manik Sood,

Advocates

W.P.(C) 2188/2012 & CM No.4737 /2012

LEELA WATI Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhanshu Tomar, Advocate

Versus

ASHWANI MEHTA Respondent

Through: Mr. B.K. Sood & Mr. Manik Sood,

Advocates

Signature Not Verified

-|-

+

+

Signing Date: 26.09.2024 7:07:08
Certify that the digital and physical file hav been compared and the digital data is as per the physical file and no page is missing.

W.P.(C) 2189/2012 & CM No. 4738/2012

LEELAWATI

..... Petitioner

Through:

Mr. Sudhanshu Tomar, Advocate

versus

TRIPAT KAUR

..... Respondent

Through:

Mr. B.K. Sood & Mr. Manik Sood,

Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER 31.07.2012

%

'مناسب

+

CM No.9466/2012 (u/S 151 CPC) in W.P.(C) No. 2051/2012 CM No.9470/2012 (u/S 151 CPC) in W.P.(C) No. 2187/2012 CM No.9468/2012 (u/S 151 CPC) in W.P.(C) No.2188/2012 CM No.9462/2012 (u/S 151 CPC) in W.P.(C) No. 2189/2012

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Afore-mentioned four applications are disposed of.

CM No. 9463/2012 (u/O 22 R 4 r/w Sec. 151 CPC) & CM No.9464/2012 (U/o 22 R 9 RW/ Sec. 151 CPC) in W.P.(C) No.2189/2012

Notice.

Mr. B.K. Sood, Advocate, accepts notice of these applications on behalf of legal heirs of deceased respondent- Smt. Tripat Kaur and states that he has 'no objection' to the allowing of this application.

Since it is not disputed that the three legal heirs, as

mentioned in Paragraph No.2 of the application (CM No.99464/2012), are the only legal heirs of deceased respondent, so the delay in filing the accompanying application (CM No.9463/2012) is condoned and legal heirs of deceased respondent No.2 are brought on record. Amended Memo of Parties filed is taken on record.

Both the applications are disposed of.

CM No.9469/2012 (u/O 23 R 1 r/w Sec. 151 CPC) & W.P.(C)

No. 2187/2012

CM No.9461/2012 (u/O 23 R 1 r/w Sec. 151 CPC) & W.P.(C)

No. 2189/2012

CM No.9467/2012 (u/O 23 R 1 r/w Sec. 151 CPC) & W.P.(C)

No. 2188/2012

CM No.9465/2012 (u/O 23 R 1 r/w Sec. 151 CPC) & W.P.(C)

No. 2051/2012

The afore-captioned applications have been jointly moved by the parties to these petitions wherein it has been stated that they have amicably resolved the issues and arrived at a settlement. The Settlement- Agreement of 18th July, 2012 arrived at between the parties has been annexed to these applications.

Counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners are present in the Court and have been duly identified by him and that he has instructions to state that in view of Settlement-Agreement of 18th July, 2012 arrived at between the parties, petitioners do not press these petitions.

Counsel for respondents states that he has 'no objection' to the dismissal of these petitions as not pressed.

In view of Settlement- Agreement of 18th July, 2012, the afore-captioned four petitions are dismissed as not pressed. Pending applications for stay are rendered infructuous and are

disposed of as such. Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions of the aforesaid Settlement-Agreement of 18th July, 2012.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* to counsel representing both sides.

SUNIL GAUR) JUDGE

JULY 31, 2012

rs