

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

Original Jurisdiction

Dated: Nainital the 30th Day of March, 2012
1st Bail Application No. 350 of 2012.

Order on the Bail Application:

CRIMINAL SIDE

KaluAccused / Applicant
(in jail)

Versus

State of UttarakhandOpposite party.

Case Crime No. 168 of 2011.
Under Sections 379, 411 IPC.
Police Station – Sahaspur.
District – Dehradun.

Mr. Ramji Srivastava, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. T.C. Agarwal, learned A.G.A. for the State / Respondent.

Hon'ble U.C. Dhyani, J

Informant Abbas Ahmad lodged a complaint on 22.10.2011 at 11:30 a.m. at Police Station Sahaspur, District Dehradun regarding theft of his Mahindra pickup vehicle no. UK07CA 1745. Accordingly, an FIR under Section 379 IPC was lodged in the police station concerned.

2. Learned A.G.A. has submitted that although the vehicle was not recovered, but the

parts of aforesaid vehicle like tires were recovered on the pointing out of the present applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the accused / applicant submitted that nothing was recovered from the possession of the present applicant. He has been falsely implicated in the case. He has no previous criminal history. He is in jail since 25.11.2011.

4. A case of bail is made out in favour of present applicant.

5. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant Kalu is allowed. Let the applicant Kalu be released on bail on his executing a personal bond and furnishing two sureties, each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Magistrate concerned.

(U.C. Dhyani, J.)
30.03.2012

Sanjay