

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL  
ORIGINAL JURISDICTION**

Dated Nainital the 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2012

**First Bail Application No.23 of 2012**

Order on the bail application of the accused.

Raj Kumar Saini

.....Applicant

**Versus**

State of Uttarakhand

..... Opposite Party

**In Case Crime No.228 of 2010,  
U/Ss 419/420/467/468/471/120-B IPC & Section 74 of Information  
and Technology Act,  
P.S. Kotwali Ranipur, Distt. Haridwar**

**Hon'ble V.K. Bist, J.**

Counter affidavit, filed today in the Court, on behalf of State, is kept with the records.

Sri D. Barthwal, Advocate i/b Sri Karan Anand, Advocate for the applicant.

Sri M.A. Khan, Brief Holder for the State.

On due consideration, the urgency application no.293/2012 is allowed.

Also heard on the bail application.

Learned counsel for the applicant contended that co-accused Jagdeep @ Jagdish and Sikhuresh Bahaduri have been directed to be enlarged on bail by the orders of this Court, and the applicant, having the similar role to those co-accused, also deserves bail on the basis of parity. It was lastly pointed out that the applicant is in jail since 19.10.2010 and has got no previous criminal history.

Without commenting any further on the merits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail at this stage.

Let the applicant be enlarged on bail on executing personal bond and furnishing two sureties, each of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Magistrate concerned.

Bail application is allowed accordingly.

**(V.K. Bist, J.)  
Vacation Judge**

Dated 27.01.2012

Rdang