IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition (S/B) No. 43 of 2012

A.K. Mishra. ……. Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand & another. ..……. Respondents

Mr. Sandeep Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinay Kumar, Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand / respondent No. 1.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Barin Ghosh, C.J.
Hon'ble U.C. Dhyani, J.

BARIN GHOSH, C. J. (Oral)

Action on the part of the respondents in regularising alleged ad hoc Assistant Engineer is likely to affect promotional prospect of the petitioner and, hence, this writ petition.

2. We have not been shown from any of the rules, referred to us, that the petitioner, an Assistant Engineer of the respondent Board, is entitled to be promoted on any post. We, accordingly, find no merit in the purported grievance expressed. In any event, in the absence of those, who are to be regularised, no lis can be entertained, which may affect them. For both the reasons, writ petition is dismissed.

(U.C. Dhyani, J.) **(Barin Ghosh, C. J.)**
29.02.2012 29.02.2012

G