## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH \*\*\*\*\*

FAO NO.M-80 OF 2012

**DATE OF DECISION: 31.07.2012** 

Kusam Rani ....Appellant

Versus

Dalip Singh @ Deepak

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. G.S.Verma, Advocate,

for the appellant.

Mr. Rajpal Singh, Advocate,

for the respondent.

## **RAJIVE BHALLA, J. (ORAL)**

The appellant prays that judgment and decree dated 05.03.2012 passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jalalabad (West) District Fazilka, rejecting the petition filed under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act (for short as 'the Act') for grant of divorce by mutual consent, may be set aside.

Counsel for the appellant submits that on account of bona fide error, the appellant made a statement, that raised an inference that parties had not been living separately, for the last one year. It is contended that if the appellant had made an error in her second statement recorded on 03.03.2012, the learned Trial Court should have called upon parties to clarify the error instead of dismissing the petition.

Counsel for the respondent-husband states that he does not contest the correctness of submissions made by appellant-wife and states that it is correct that they were residing separately, for a period of one year, before filing the petition for divorce.

The appellant and the respondent filed a petition for grant of divorce by mutual consent and appeared before the trial Court on

FAO NO.M-80 OF 2012

-2-

27.08.2011. The appellant as well as the respondent made separate

statements that they have been living separately for the last more than

one year. The petition was, thereafter, adjourned to 03.03.2012 to await

expiry of the statutory period of six months. On 03.03.2012, the

appellant made a statement that they have been living apart for the last

1-1¼ years. The trial Court, therefore, recorded a finding that as parties

have not been living separately for a period of more than one year, they

are not entitled to a decree of divorce by mutual consent.

We have heard counsel for the parties, perused the impugned

judgment and are satisfied that the appellant made a bona fide error in

her statement on 03.03.2012. In the petition for grant of divorce and in

her first statement recorded on 27.08.2011, the appellant has taken a

positive stand that parties have been living separately for the last more

than one year. In this situation, the trial Court should have recalled the

parties and recorded their separate statements, afresh, instead of

dismissing the petition.

In view of what has been stated hereinabove, the appeal is

allowed, the judgment and decree passed by the trial Court is set aside

and marriage between Kusam Rani and Dalip Singh @ Deepak, is

dissolved by grant of a decree of divorce, by mutual consent. Decree

sheet be drawn accordingly.

(RAJIVE BHALLA)

JUDGE

31.07.2012

(REKHA MITTAL) adhikari **JUDGE**