Civil Writ Petition No.23121 of 2012

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT THE CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.23121 of 2012

Date of Decision: 30.11.2012

Sulleman and others

..Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present:

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. D.Khanna, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

for respondent nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate

for respondent no.4.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners pray for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.4 not to dispossess the

petitioners during pendency of their applications for restoration, filed

and pending before the Collector, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna

Nagar.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the original

petition filed by the petitioners under Section 13-A of the Punjab

Village Common Lands (Regulation Act, 1961 (hereinafter referred to

as "the 1961 Act"), was dismissed in default. The petitioners have

filed applications for restoration of the suit for declaration but the

Gram Panchayat is making an attempt to dispossess the petitioners

on the basis of an eviction order passed under Section 7 of the 1961

Civil Writ Petition No.23121 of 2012

2

Act. It is prayed that during pendency of the restoration applications,

the dispossession of the petitioners may be stayed.

Counsel for the Gram Panchayat, on the other hand,

submits that possession has been taken by the Gram Panchayat

and the land is to put on auction on 3rd December, 2012.

Counsel for the petitioners, however, denies the fact that

petitioners have been dispossessed and prays that their

dispossession should be stayed.

We have heard counsel for the parties and dispose of the

writ petition by directing respondent no.2 to consider and decide

applications for restoration, within 15 days from the date of receipt of

a certified copy of this order. However, during pendency of the

applications for restoration, parties shall maintain status quo with

respect to possession.

(RAJIVE BHALLA) JUDGE

30.11.2012 VK (REKHA MITTAL) JUDGE