

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 11095 of 2012

Date of decision : May 31, 2012

Gurpreet Singh and others,

..... Petitioners

v.

The State of Punjab and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. RVS Chugh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

AJAY TEWARI, J (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Kavita Arora, learned AAG,
Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply three copies of the petition to the learned AAG during the course of the day failing which this order shall be automatically recalled and the writ petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed for non-prosecution.

Having regard to the nature of order which I propose to pass, there is no necessity to call upon the respondents to file their counter-reply (ies)/affidavit(s), at this stage.

The petitioners seek appointment on the ground that their land

has been acquired for the purpose of establishing a Thermal Plant, a railway line and a canal minor.

Counsel for the parties are ad-idem that the controversy involved herein is squarely covered by the decision of this Court rendered in CWP No. 1064 of 2012, Hardeep Kaur vs State of Punjab and others, decided on 18.1.2012.

In these circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as in CWP No.1064 of 2012.

Copy of this order be given dasti to counsel for the respondents under the signatures of the Court Secretary.

May 31, 2012.
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE