

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.1754 of 2002
Date of Decision : February 29, 2012.

Jarnail KaurPetitioner
versus	
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYAKANT.

Present : Ms.Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Addl.AG. Punjab.

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner who is working as P.T.I. in the Education Department, Government of Punjab, seeks pay scales equivalent to Hindi/Punjabi Teachers (Language Teachers) on the basis of judgment dated 7.5.2009 of this Court passed in a bunch of writ petitions including CWP No.16380 of 1989 (Balbir Singh and others versus State of Punjab and others).

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the parties are *ad-idem* that the controversy involved in this case stands settled by the afore-cited decision.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in Balbir Singh and others' case (*supra* and the respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner accordingly.

In case the petitioner's claim is found covered under the afore-cited decision, the consequential benefits shall be given notionally. However, if the respondents find that the claim of the petitioner is distinguishable, they shall dispose of it by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Dasti.

February 29, 2012

Mohinder

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE