IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

C.R. No. 1311 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 29, 2012

Gurvinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Pawanpreet Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-.-

Present:- Mr. H.K. Brinda, Advocate

for the petitioner.

-.-

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Vide impugned order while deciding application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, the trial Court has awarded interim maintenance at the rate of Rs.2000/- per month to the respondent wife with an additional sum of Rs.1000/- for the son born out of the wedlock besides litigation expenses of Rs.5000/-.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the respondentwife had been living separately from the petitioner under a Panchayati compromise and that as per the said compromise she is not entitled to any C.R. No. 1311 of 2012 (O&M)

[2]

maintenance. Counsel has also argued that the petitioner is unemployed

and is unable to pay a sum of Rs.3000/- per month to the respondent.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and going

through the impugned order, I do not find any ground to interfere in the

order as the trial Court has rightly observed that even if it is presumed that

the petitioner is working as a labourer, it can be assumed that he is earning

at least Rs.250/- to Rs.350/- per day. Even if for the sake of arguments, it is

presumed that the amount of Rs.3000/- per month is slightly on higher side

taking into consideration the income of the petitioner, it is relevant to

observe that as per provisions of Section 21-B (2) of the Hindu Marriage

Act, every petition under Hindu Marriage Act is to be decided expeditiously

and concluded within a period of six months from the date of service of

notice to the opposite side. It is ordered that in case the petitioner moves an

application for expeditious disposal under Section 21 B (2) of the Act, the

trial Court shall make an earnest endeavour to conclude the trial within a

period of six months. In view of abovesaid circumstances, the petition is

dismissed.

February 29, 2012

sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI) JUDGE