

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. CHANDRA KUMAR**

**Writ Petition No. 14096 of 2010**

**Order:**

The petitioner was originally appointed as NMR (Section Writer) in the second respondent-Corporation and worked as such from 01.11.1989 to 21.09.1991. When his services were orally terminated, he raised I.D. No.226 of 2003 before the Labour Court-I, Hyderabad. Subsequently, the said ID was transferred to Labour Court-II, Hyderabad and renumbered as I.D. No. 144 of 2005. The said ID was dismissed for default and passed 'Nil' award on 24.08.2006, which was published vide G.O.Rt.No.1949, dated 21.09.2006. Questioning the same, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition.

A perusal of the record would go to show that in respect of certain other similarly situated employees, the Labour court passed award in I.D. No.19 of 2001 and batch and challenging the said award the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad filed Writ Petition No.15650 of 2003 and batch and this Court, by a common order dated 15.04.2008, dismissed the said writ petitions. When the matter was carried in appeal being Writ Appeal Nos.1118 of 2008 and batch, the Division Bench of this Court, by a common judgment dated 16.09.2008, dismissed the appeals filed by the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad. In respect of similarly situated employees, wherein 'Nil' award was passed in I.D. Nos.189 and 190 of 2004 and when they approached this Court in Writ Petition No.27634 of 2008, this Court, by an order dated 05.08.2009, allowed the said writ petition. Similar orders were also passed in W.P. Nos.14937 of 2009, dated 05.08.2009 and in W.P. Nos.4807 and 4808 of 2011, dated 09.12.2011. The Division Bench of this Court also considered the case of similarly situated persons in W.A. No.1096 of 2011, dated

26.12.2011. It appears that the subject matter of this writ petition is also squarely covered by the earlier orders of this Court.

In the circumstances, the impugned award is set aside and the respondents are directed to reinstate the petitioner into service on par with similarly situated employees.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of. No costs.

---

**B. CHANDRA KUMAR, J.**

Date: 30.03.2012

Nsr