

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2012/6TH ASWINA 1934

WP(C) .No. 21931 of 2012 (N)  
-----

PETITIONER:  
-----

SHANMUGHAN, AGED 59 YEARS  
S/O.VENKITACHALAM, ATTAPALLAM, PAMPALLAM  
KANJIKODE P.O., PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.R.VENKETESH

RESPONDENTS:  
-----

1. THE TAHSILDAR, PALAKKAD 678 014
2. THE TALUK SURVEYOR, PALAKKAD 678 014
3. THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER, PALAKKAD 678 014
4. THE RANGE OFFICER, WALAYAR RANGE OFFICER,  
PALAKKAD DISTRICT 678 6024

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.VIJU THOMAS

BY SRI.M.P.MADHAVANKUTTY, SPL. GOVT. PLEADER FOREST DEPARTMENT

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
28-09-2012, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

VK

-----

APPENDIX

-----

PETITIONER(S) EXHIBITS

-----

- EXT.P1        TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 3/12/2011 SUBMITTED BY  
                 THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P2        TRUE COPY OF THE JOINT INSPECTION REPORT DATED 25/9/2008 BY  
                 THE REVENUE OFFICIALS.
- EXT.P3        TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICER  
                 DATED 7/2/2012.
- EXT.P4        TRUE COPY OF THE BTR EXTRACT OF RE-SURVEY NO 370/2.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS : NIL

-----

/ TRUE COPY /

P.A. TO JUDGE

VK

**T.R. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.**

~~~~~

**W.P.(C). No.21931/2012-N**

~~~~~

**Dated this the 28<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2012**

**J U D G M E N T**

The petitioner owns an extent of 3 acres of land in Old Survey No.1019/2 of Puthussery Central Village. The resurvey records when finalised, the old survey No.1019/2 has become 370/2 in Block No.31. According to the petitioner, a mistake has crept in the resurvey records, namely the Basic Tax Register, in the remarks column, showing the property as "Forest Department". The petitioner therefore approached the Taluk Surveyor for effecting correction in the Basic Tax Register with Ext.P1 application. Ext.P2 is a copy of the joint inspection report dated 25/09/2008. It is pointed out that for the last four years, no action has been taken. Even the Village Officer has reported recently that it is only a mistake, as evident from Ext.P3 report.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader for the respondents.

3. There will be a direction to the second respondent to consider the application of the petitioner in the light of the reports already obtained and with notice to the petitioner and take appropriate decision within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this Judgment. The writ petition is disposed of as above. No costs.

Sd/-

**(T.R. Ramachandran Nair, Judge.)**