

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDRA MOHAN

TUESDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF JULY 2012/9TH SRAVANA 1934

WP(C).No. 15499 of 2012 (J)

PETITIONER:

PRADEEP, AGED 42 YEARS
VIYYOKARAN HOUSE, VELLANIKKARA,
MADAKATHARA, THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.I.DINESH MENON

RESPONDENT:

SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY THRISSUR 680002

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI G.GOPAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 31-07-2012, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

A P P E N D I X

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- EXT. P1 TRUE COPY OF THE REGULAR PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER.
- EXT. P2 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR REPLACEMENT DATED 26-04-12.
- EXT. P3 TRUE COPY OF THE CHALAN RECEIPT DATED 26-04-2012.
- EXT. P4 TRUE COPY OF THE TEMPORARY PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER
VALID TILL 15-7-2012.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A TO JUDGE

K.SURENDRA MOHAN, J.

W.P(c) No.15499 of 2012-J

Dated this the 31st day of July, 2012

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner, a stage carriage operator conducting services on the route Chettuva-Thrissur-Valappad Beah-Pullazhy on the strength of the regular permit valid till 28.10.2014, has filed this Writ Petition complaining that an application for replacement of vehicle submitted by him has not been considered so far. The petitioner was conducting services with vehicle bearing Registration No.KL-8 J 7500. It was a specific condition of the permit that the vehicle should be replaced before 30.05.2012. In order to comply with the said condition, the petitioner submitted an application for permission to replace his vehicle with another vehicle bearing Reg.No.KL-8 AL 8000. Though the application was submitted on 26.04.2012, he complains that no orders have been passed thereon till date.

2. The learned Government Pleader on instructions submits that there were complaints that the petitioner had replaced the engine of his vehicle with another engine and therefore, enquiry into the said complaint took up some time.

The learned Government Pleader assures that the petitioner's application would be considered and disposed of within a short period of time.

This Writ Petition is therefore disposed of directing the respondent to consider Ext.P2 application submitted by the petitioner in accordance with law and to pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of one month of the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

(K.SURENDRA MOHAN, JUDGE)

rtr/