IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF JANUARY 2012/11TH MAGHA, 1933

WPC.No. 2497 of 2012 (J)

PETITIONER

M.R.RAJU S/O.RAMAKRISHNAN, PROPRIETOR, GLOBAL AGENCIES, NANDIKKARA, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.T.S.RADHAKRISHNA PILLAI SRI.T.P.RAJENDRAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS

- THE KERALA STATE CIVIL SUPPLIES CORPORATION LTD.
 REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR,
 P.B.NO.2030, MAVELI BHAVAN, MAVELI ROAD,
 GANDHI NAGAR, KOCHI 682 020.
- 2. THE MANAGER (PURCHASE)
 THE KERALA STATE CIVIL SUPPLIES CORPORATION LTD.,
 P.B.NO.2030, MAVELI BHAVAN, MAVELI ROAD,
 GANDHI NAGAR, KOCHI 682 020.
- 3. THE ASSISTANT MANAGER,
 THE KERALA STATE CIVIL SUPPLIES CORPORATION LTD.,
 THRISSUR-670001.

BY SRI. MILLU DANDAPANI

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31-01-2012, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- EXT.P1:- COPY OF CERIFICATE OF REGISTRATION TIN 32080974344C DATED 23.06.2007.
- EXT.P2:- COPY OF LICENSE NO.C-4L NO.IC/54-2010-11 DATED 10.01.2011.
- EXT.P3:- COPY OF GOODS ISSUE SHEET NO.0912357 DATED 23.12.2009 ISSUED BY 3RD RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P4:- TRUE EXTRACT OF THE STOCK REGISTER OF THE PERIOD FROM 01.04.2009 TO 22.12.2010.
- EXT.P5:- COPY OF ORDER NO.P10.21406/09 DATED 19.11.2009 ISSUED BY 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P6:- COPY OF LETTER NO.P10.21406/09 DATED 24.11.2009 ISSUED BY 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P7:- COPY OF BILL ISSUED TO M/S ROYAL STORES, CHALAKUDY.
- EXT.P8:- COPY OF LETTER NO.P.10.21406/09(7) DATED 01.01.2011 ISSUED BY 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P9:- COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATE 10.01.2011 BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:-NIL

//TRUE COPY//

PA TO JUGE

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

The petitioner purchased some wheat from the 1st respondent by paying the price fixed for the same. The petitioner has now been directed to pay differential price on the ground that the wheat was under-priced by the respondents. Against the same, the petitioner has filed Ext.P9 representation before the 2nd respondent. The petitioner seeks expeditious disposal of Ext.P9.

Having heard the standing counsel for the respondents , I am of opinion that it is more appropriate that the $1^{\rm st}$ respondent considers Ext.P9. In the above circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the $1^{\rm st}$ respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P9, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Till then, the recovery shall not be enforced.

Sd/- S. Siri Jagan, Judge.

Tds/