

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF AUGUST 2012/5TH BHADRA 1934

Crl.MC.No. 2872 of 2012 ()

CC.191/2012 of JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE I COURT, PERAMBRA

PETITIONER(S):

LASITHA, D/O. RAVI M.
MAKKUZHIYIL HOUSE,
PERAMBRA P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.T.G.RAJENDRAN

RESPONDENT/ACCUSED/STATE:

1. JIJESH, S/O. GOPI,
SIJESH NIVAS, S.N. MADAM
MUZHUPPILOANGAD, KANNUR.
2. GOPI, S/O. BHASKARAN
RESIDING -DO-
3. SYAMALA, W/O. GOPI,
RESIDING -DO-
4. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERAMBRA POLICE STATION.
5. STATE REPRESENTED BY
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.CIBI THOMAS
BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. ROY THOMAS

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 27-08-2012,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

CRL. M.C. NO. 2872/2012

APPENDIX

ANNEXURE I : TRUE COPY OF THE FINAL REPORT.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

Crl.M.C.No. 2872 of 2012

Dated this the 27th day of August, 2012

ORDER

Heard the counsel for the petitioner and the contesting respondents as well as the Public Prosecutor.

2. The criminal case stems out of a marital dispute and the parties have since arrived at a settlement as is borne out by the documents produced. It is contended that the allegations pertaining to the complaint arose due to some misunderstanding and misconception of facts between the spouses.

3. I am convinced that there is no chance of recording a conviction against the accused and the entire exercise of a trial is destined to be an exercise in futility. This is a fit case where the criminal proceedings can be quashed to secure the ends of justice following **Shiji @ Pappu and Others v. Radhika and Another** (AIR 2012 SC 499).

4. All further proceedings in C.C.No.191 of 2012 on the file of the Court of the Judicial First Class Magistrate-I, Perambra are hereby quashed.

Crl.M.C. is disposed of.

**V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.**

nj.