

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 616 of 2009-B

Date of decision: 31.10.2012

Neelam Parkash S/O Late Shri Laiq Ram, R/O Village & P.O. Dewla, Tehsil Sunni, Distt. Shimla (HP). Presently posted as Constable State CID Wing Kasumpti, Shimla 171 009.

... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Himachal Pradesh through Secretary (Home) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla – 171 002.
2. The Director General of Police, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla - 171 002.
3. The Superintendent of Police Shimla, Distt. Shimla, H.P.

... Respondents

Civil Writ Petition under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India.

Coram :

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.K. Ahuja, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the petitioner: Mr. Neel Kamal Sood, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. J.K. Verma, Deputy Advocate General.

V.K. Ahuja , J. (Oral):

The petitioner has filed the present petition for issuance of the writ of mandamus directing the respondents to grant promotion to the petitioner by implementing Annexure P-10.

2. Notice of the petition was issued to the respondents, who filed reply.

3. A perusal of the petition shows that earlier also the petitioner had filed representation. The said representation was rejected vide letter date 17.10.2008 vide Annexure P-9. Thereafter,

¹Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

the petitioner filed another representation Annexure P-12 un-dated, which was addressed to the Director General of Police, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla. As submitted, the said representation has not been decided so far by the respondents. The learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed that the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure P-12 be decided by the Director General of Police, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla. Respondent No. 2 shall consider the proceedings of the Departmental Promotion Committee, Annexure P-10, while deciding the said representation filed by the petitioner. The said representation shall be decided by respondent No. 2 within a period of three months from the date, a certified copy of this order is presented before him alongwith the Annexures by the petitioner, which the petitioner may desire to enclose therewith.

4. In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of, so also the pending miscellaneous applications, if any.

Copy **Dasti**.

October 31, 2012
(BSS)

(V.K. Ahuja),
Judge