

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

**CMPMO No. 26 of 2012
Decided on 31.10.2012**

M/S Naina Cold and Retreading Works Darla, Tehsil Arki, Distt. Solan, H.P., through its Prop. Sh. Karam Chand Sharma.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

1. H.P Financial Corporation, Shimla, through its Managing Director.

...Respondent.

2. Smt. Seeta Devi s/o Sh. Kapoor Chand, R/o Village Kaina, Tehsil and Distt. Shimla. H.P.

...auction Purchaser/respondent.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dev Darshan Sud, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the petitioner : Mr. V.S Chauhan, Advocate.

For the respondent : Mr. A.K Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Justice Dev Darshan Sud,J.(oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks liberty to withdraw this petition in order to enable the petitioner to institute appeal under Order 43 Rule 1 (J). Permission granted. The petition stands dismissed as withdrawn. The time spent for prosecuting this petition by the petitioner shall be entitled to the benefit of Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

**October 31, 2012
(priti)**

**(Justice Dev Darshan Sud)
Judge**

_____Whether reporters of local papers are allowed to see the judgment?Yes.

